Geochemical Transactions

Research article

Phosphorus retention in calcareous soils and the effect of organic matter on its mobility

Ray von Wandruszka*

Address: Department of Chemistry, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844-2343, USA

Email: Ray von Wandruszka* - rvw@uidaho.edu

* Corresponding author

This article is available from: http://www.geochemicaltransactions.com/content/7/1/6

Abstract

A survey of the interactions between phosphorus (P) species and the components of calcareous soils shows that both surface reactions and precipitation take place, especially in the presence of calcite and limestone. The principal products of these reactions are dicalcium phosphate and octacalcium phosphate, which may interconvert after formation. The role of calcium carbonate in P retention by calcareous soils is, however, significant only at relatively high P concentrations – non-carbonate clays play a more important part at lower concentrations. In the presence of iron oxide particles, occlusion of P frequently occurs in these bodies, especially with forms of the element that are pedogenic in origin. Progressive mineralization and immobilization, often biological in nature, are generally observed when P is added as a fertilizer.

Manure serves both as a source of subsurface P and an effective mobilizing agent. Blockage of P sorption sites by organic acids, as well as complexation of exchangeable Al and Fe in the soil, are potential causes of this mobilization. Swine and chicken manure are especially rich P sources, largely due the practice of adding the element to the feed of nonruminants. Humic materials, both native and added, appear to increase recovery of Olsen P. In the presence of metal cations, strong complexes between inorganic P and humates are formed. The influence of humic soil amendments on P mobility warrants further investigation.

Background

The mobility of phosphorus (P) in the shallow subsurface is a matter of critical importance and considerable complexity. Its importance stems from the fact that P, an essential nutrient for all plant and animal life, is often in short supply. Agricultural fertilizers and other soil amendments, such as mineral P fertilizers and animal manure, provide P that is readily available to plants. The short-term availability of P to crops is strongly influenced by biochemical processes that affect organic matter, while its long-term status is generally determined by geochemical transformations.

The nature of P species in the shallow subsurface varies widely with location, soil type, and management system. In describing P movement in soils, workers often use operational categorizations such as "dissolved reactive P", "particulate unreactive P", *etc.* [1,2] The abundances of the principal P compounds, expressed as percentages of total P in the soil, are typically in the ranges: orthophosphates 60 – 80%; pyrophosphate 0.5 – 4%; P-monoesters 16 – 38%; and P-diesters 1.2 – 4% [3]. Both inorganic P (P_i) and organic P (P_o) species interact extensively with soil components and are subject to various chemical transformations that affect the retention of the element.

Table 1: Extraction of Inorganic P from Soils*

Extractant	P forms extracted
NaOH/NaCl	Al- and Fe-bound
Na citrate-bicarbonate (CB)	Labile pedogenic Ca-rich
Na citrate (C)	Pedogenic Ca-phosphates
Na citrate-ascorbate (CA)	Occluded in poorly crystalline Fe-oxides
Na citrate-bicarbonate-dithionite (CBD)	Occluded in crystalline Fe-oxides
Na acetate	Ca phosphates (excl. lithogenic apatite)
HCl	Lithogenic apatite

*adapted from ref. [23]

Depletion and oversupply are the two main challenges in subsurface P management. Depletion is especially serious when low input agriculture is practiced, involving land clearing and continuous cultivation that reduce both P_i and P_o in the soil [4]. Oversupply occurs when amendments are added in excess of crop requirements, as may happen when manure is applied to satisfy the nitrogen requirements of crops [5,6]. Surplus P can be transported in runoff after rainfall, irrigation and snowmelt, and may contribute to eutrophication in water bodies.

The monitoring and management of environmental P is predicated on accurate determinations of the element in subsurface matrices. A thorough discussion of P analysis is beyond the scope of this survey, but an excellent compilation of analytical techniques has been published under the auspices of the USDA-CREES [7]. Sample treatment methodologies for a wide range of environmental samples have been reviewed by Worsfold *et al.* [8]. Briefly, three techniques are widely used for environmental P determination:

(i) The Murphy-Riley (MR) colorimetric method for inorganic P analysis [9] (later improved by Harwood *et al.* [10]), which uses ammonium molybdate, ascorbic acid, and antimony potassium tartrate to develop a blue color with P_i (absorption at 880 nm) [11].

(ii) Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) spectroscopy, with either optical emission (178.290 nm) [12] or mass spectrometric detection [13]. ICP generally yields higher P values than MR.

(iii) Potentiometry with the phosphate-sensitive cobalt electrode, which was introduced by Xia *et al.* in 1995 [14,15], and has since proven to be a useful sensor for dissolved orthophosphates [16-18].

Chemical identification or organic P in environmental samples is generally carried out by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy [19,20]. Spectral assignments can be challenging, and Turner *et al.* [21] have published extensive lists of P reso-

nance peaks that provide a guideline for the identification of both P_i and P_o. Cade-Menun *et al.* note that the quantitative use of ^{31}P NMR spectra of soil and litter extracts in solution requires careful sample treatment, control of parameters, and knowledge of the species present in solution. They have published a comprehensive summary of recommendations regarding the choice of extractant, measurement of relaxation times, determination of Fe and Mn content, use of appropriate delay times, and sample temperature [22].

P in calcareous soils

Analyses of P retention and mobilization in natural calcareous environments have shown that both adsorption and precipitation take place, although it is not always easy to distinguish between the two mechanisms. Measurement of P by any of the techniques mentioned above is usually preceded by single or sequential extractions, which often involve the solvent systems summarized in Table 1.

Early work by Cole *et al.* on the interactions of P with calcite surfaces [24] distinguished between initial adsorption and subsequent precipitation of dicalcium phosphate (DCP, $CaHPO_4$). Alternatively, octacalcium phosphate (OCP, $Ca_8(HPO_4)_2(OH)_2$), may be formed [25], and hydrolytic conversion from DCP to OCP is known to take place [26]. This is especially noted when the initial DCP formation is followed by an amorphous-to-crystalline transition in the solid phase [27]. A cyclic process, in which OCP disproportionates to reform DCP and stable hydroxyapatite (HAp, $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2$), has also been found to occur [28]. The general consensus, affirmed by X-ray diffraction [29], is that P growth on a calcite surface involves both DCP and OCP, with the former dominating. Surface coverage, even at high P concentrations is typically no greater than 5%.

Early studies with limestone particles [30] suggest that the solubility of P in suspensions of these is also controlled by a DCP solid phase, despite some inconsistencies in solubility product values [31]. The initial attachment involves

Table 2: Quantities of P Extracted from Calcareous Marsh Soil*

	Types of P in soil (mg/kg)						P by sequential fractionation (mg/kg)						
Total	Inorganic	Organic	Residual	Olsen	P_{cb}	P_d	NaOH	CB	CC	CA	CBD	NaOAc	HCl
839	611	122	105	26	111	145	6	127	389	82	37	13	60

Pcb = CB extr; Pcbd = CBD extr.; ca = citrate-ascorbate; CB = citrate-bicarbonate; CBD = citrate-bicarbonate-dithionite; CC = citrate (2extr).
*adapted from ref. [46]

chemisorption of P onto the particles, producing a material was first thought to be amorphous [27], but was later shown to have a well defined chemical structure [32]. The initial chemisorption, involving the formation of DCP, is described by a Langmuir isotherm, while subsequent sorption (including the formation of OCP) is of a low-energy physical type [33]. The initial process is relatively rapid, followed by a *ca*. 2-h induction period, and then followed by precipitation [34]. P-sorption on all carbonates strongly depends on surface characteristics, especially surface area and zeta potential (17.7 – 25.3 mV). Interestingly, pyrophosphate does not interfere with P sorption, but does appear to inhibit precipitation.

It is clear that surface adsorption and precipitation are major mechanisms of P retention in calcareous systems, depressing its availability after fertilizer application. Diverse results have been obtained regarding the relative roles of carbonates and oxide clays in P retention in calcareous soils. Afif *et al*. [35] found that at high application rates, P available to plants is negatively correlated to the amount of lime in soil, but not to Fe, clay content, or CEC. In contrast, other studies indicate that P retention increases with the ratio of Fe oxides to $CaCO_3$ [36,37]. The preponderance of the evidence [38-40] suggests that non-carbonate clays provide most of the P adsorbing surfaces in many calcareous soils, especially at low P concentrations. It has even be reported that a 1.6% (w/w) coating of Fe_2O_3 on calcite increases the P sorption capacity 9-fold [41]. As the P content of the soil increases, sorption by carbonates becomes more important.

In comparing the relative importance of surface reactions and precipitation in P retention, Tunesi *et al*. [42] concluded that in soils with a high reservoir of exchangeable cations, precipitation is the predominant mechanism in the reduction of available P. HAp is the most stable precipitated form of P in calcareous soils [43], while other forms, including DCP dihydrate, OCP, and a metastable phase of HAp [44], are somewhat more soluble.

A third retention mechanism for P, especially iron rich soils, involves occlusion in Fe oxide particles. From data obtained from single and sequential extractions with ascorbate, citrate-ascorbate, bicarbonate, dithionite, and oxalate, Torrent and coworkers [23,45] concluded that

poorly crystalline Fe oxides (primarily ferrihydrite) have a distinct tendency to occlude P. Reduction of these particles in aquatic environments can lead to increased P concentrations. It has been shown that the relative quantities of P occluded in both poorly and highly crystalline Fe oxides is not necessarily related to the degree of P enrichment in the soil, and that this form of P may in fact be pedogenic in nature [46]. The typical breakdown of P for such a case is shown in Table 2.

From a practical standpoint it is interesting to consider how P interactions in calcareous soils compare to those in limed acid soils. In cases where substantial amounts of metal phosphates have accumulated in soils of both types – due to pedogenesis and/or fertilizer application in excess of plant uptake – calcareous soils are found to contain less surface P than limed acid soils. Ca phosphates predominate in the former, and Al and Fe phosphates in the latter. Overall, P availability to plants is greater in limed acid soils [47].

In unamended soils, especially those not having received manure, P leaching is generally a relatively minor problem compared to erosive losses of the element. There is, however, strong evidence that the extent of subsurface P loss is closely related to the degree of phosphorus saturation (DPS) of the soil. In terms of Olsen P, it has been suggested that below 60 mg P kg^{-1}, P_i is sorbed strongly, while at higher concentrations sorption energy is much lower [48], which would promote P leaching. It is generally found that at DPS levels below 20% P leaching is rather insignificant, but increases rapidly above this value [49,50]. When manure is added to soils, however, the situation changes radically, and P is mobilized and subject to both surface and subsurface losses [51,52]. This is further discussed below.

Influence of organic matter
Both added manure or litter and native organic matter (humic materials) have significant effects on subsurface P retention. Manure not only affects sorption and precipitation of P, but often contains significant amounts of the element, which is thereby – deliberately or incidentally – added to the land. Humic materials, the breakdown products of the total biota in the environment, generally are not a major source of P, but they do have a mobilizing

Table 3: P in Manures**

	Dairy manure	Poultry manure	Swine slurry
total P (mg/kg)	3,990	28,650	32,950
% inorganic P	63	84	91
% organic P	25	14	8
% residual P	12	2	1
%P leached in rainfall*	58	21	15

* 5 consecutive simulated rainfall events of 70 mm/h, 30 min each.
**adapted from ref. [67]

effect on it in the subsurface. The use of extrinsic humates, especially leonardite humic acid, for soil improvement has experienced an upswing in recent years [53].

Manure
The application of manure is widely practiced to increase the productivity of soils that contain inadequate levels of organic carbon. The effects of manure on P availability in various soils has been widely studied, and the general conclusion has been that it is a source of P; interacts with soil components in a manner that increases P recovery by crops; and enhances the effectiveness of inorganic P fertilizer. P added from manure and other sources, however, tends to become less available to plants with the passing of time [54]. As mentioned above [5], manure application guidelines are frequently based on the N requirements of crops, and P is therefore often oversupplied and liable to either accumulate or be removed by surface or subsurface transport [55]. As regards the eventual status of fertilizer P in soil, it is interesting to note that manure and mineral (KH_2PO_4) fertilizer appear to contribute to different P pools [56]. The latter is efficient at increasing $CaCl_2$ extractable P and Mehlich-3 P, while manure (especially chicken manure) has a greater effect on modified Morgan P, as well as other types of P.

Alkaline soils subject to long-term manure amendments have been shown to accumulate substantial quantities of P, with 50–66% in plant available forms [5]. Irrigated plots receiving high (>60 Mg ha^{-1}) annual manure applications are considered to pose a risk of ground water contamination, as the total P concentration increases with soil depth. The ability of acid soils to retain added P after long-term manuring, is generally low. It has been reported that manure applications have a greater effect on the retention of P_i than the retention of P_o [57].

The affinity constants and sorption capacities of soils for P are reduced by organic amendments, especially manure. This can be due to competition for P fixation sites by organic acids, and/or the complexing of exchangeable Al and Fe by components of manure [58-60]. The latter may, at least partially, be ascribed to the release of sulfates and

fluorides by the manure, both of which are strong complexing agents for Al and Fe.

Parallels may be drawn between the P mobilizing effects of manure and humic materials (*vide infra*) on the one hand, and root exudates on the other hand. It is well established that cover crops such as white lupin (*Lupin albus L.*) form cluster roots in response to P deficiency, and that these root systems are efficient producers of succinate, citrate, and malate [61,62]. Release of these organic anions into the rhizosphere enhances the release of sparingly soluble P, not only from the acid soluble pool, but also from more stable residual P fractions. Little information is presently available on the chemical nature of analogous chemical species in manure and humic amendments that may be responsible for their P mobilizing qualities.

On a seasonal basis, a decrease in soluble P during the growing season is often observed in calcareous soils, followed by an increase in the noncropping season [63]. Vivekanandan and Fixen [64] have reported that large-scale manure applications to a silty clay loam results in a linear increase in available P (Bray P1), up to a (presumably) soil dependent limit. P stabilization eventually occurs through apatite precipitation. In acidic soils, high application rates of manure also lead to P mobilization, indicating that organic materials with high P content may substitute for $CaCO_3$ as a soil amendment to decrease the P sorption capacity and increase the pH [60,65]. Interestingly, it has recently been reported that dissolved organic matter does not inhibit P sorption in highly weathered acidic soils [66].

Types of manure
The type of manure used for soil amendment is an important variable with respect to the amount of P contributed to the soil. Sharpley and Moyer [67] have published a detailed account on the P content of dairy, poultry, and swine manures, both raw and composted. In all cases listed, it was found that P_i constitutes the vast majority of P determined. Some of the results are summarized in Table 3, which also includes data on P mobilized by simulated rainfall.

All commercial animal production can cause serious manure disposal problems, which have been exacerbated by extensive consolidation in recent years. The vast quantities of manure [68] produced by centralized pig farming, for instance, are a case in point. The relative amount of P contained in this manure is large, because pigs (and other nonruminants) lack the phytase [69] enzymatic system that releases P from phytic acid stored in cereals. Animal feed producers and farmers therefore often add P_i to the feed, which improves animal health but also increases the

P content of manure. Other approaches in supplying P to pigs include the addition of phytase to the feed, and even the development of phytase transgenic pigs [70] – which, to date, do not appear to have found commercial application. Also, low-phytate corn [71] and barley [72] mutants, usable as feed, have been isolated. Leytem *et al.* [73] found that pigs that were fed these grains showed evidence of hind-gut hydrolysis of phytic acid, possibly by intestinal microflora.

Pig slurry, which is 5–10% solid matter, typically contains 1–2% (dry w/w) P. The bulk of this (75–85%) is P_i, consisting of $CaHPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ and apatites of low solubility [74]. Short term (24 h) adsorption experiments in sandy soils have shown that the average sorption capacity is about 10 kg P_i/ha·cm depth, so that for every cm of soil a total of 8–12 tons/ha of slurry with ca. 8% solid content can be applied before saturation sets in and mobility increases. Gerritse notes [74], however, that saturation is temporary and is followed by a phase transition (mineralization) that leads to long term immobilization.

The chemical identification of P species in manure is of considerable practical importance, since the exact nature of P is a major determinant in the subsurface retention of the element after manure application. Work by Crouse *et al.* [75] has shown that the mineralization of P_o by phosphatase enzymes, especially phosphomonoesterase, can proceed over periods extending to 20 weeks in soils amended with chicken manure. The orthophosphate content of the soils increases during mineralization, while P_o decreases. The sorption of P_o (nucleotides and inositol hexaphosphate, IHP) is positively correlated with both organic matter and Fe and Al content of the soil [76]. Especially IHP is strongly retained.

The physico-chemical characteristics of manure differ from those of soil, and the use of sequential extractions in manure analysis needs to be carefully evaluated. [77] A major portion of P in manure is soluble in weak extractants such as H_2O and $NaHCO_3$, while much of the soil P requires NaOH and HCl. This is related to the fact that soils contain *ca.* 15 times as much Al, and 10 times as much Fe as manure, while manure tends to have higher Ca and Mg contents. Rapid evaluation of plant-available P clearly is a desirable feature of subsurface analysis, and He and coworkers have introduced a shortcut in the assessment of contributions from manure amendments. They suggest that a single P extraction from dairy manure with a 100 *mM* acetate buffer at pH 5.0 equals the combined H_2O, $NaHCO_3$, and NaOH extractions [78].

Turner and Leytem caution that the presence of organic P in the HCl extract of the Hedley fractionation [79] procedure is commonly overlooked, resulting in under-report-

ing [80]. They found phytic acid to be present in HCl extracts of broiler litter and swine manure, indicating that this relatively immobile compound enters the environment from these sources. More mobile P_o species in manure, such as phospholipids and simple phosphate monoesters, can, despite their relatively low abundance, become a major P component in runoff [81]. Turner and Leytem also introduced a two-step fractionation procedure for manure P [80], involving 0.5 M $NaHCO_3$ for readily soluble P, followed by 0.5 M NaOH/50 mM EDTA for more recalcitrant P. Recoveries were superior to those obtained with Hedley and $NaHCO_3$/HCl procedures.

P_i in the H_2O extractable fraction of dairy manure is correlated with total P (P_o is not [78]), while the opposite is true for the $NaHCO_3$ extractable fraction. About half of the P_o in the H_2O fraction is enzymatically hydrolysable – mainly as phytate in pig manure [82]. In contrast, a major portion of P_o in the $NaHCO_3$ fraction is not hydrolysable by either wheat phytase, alkaline phosphatase, nuclease P1, or nucleotide pyrophosphatase. This indicates that P_o extracted from manure with $NaHCO_3$ is not especially labile.

Manure treatment

Chicken manure and swine slurry are apt to provide readily mobile (water soluble) P to soil, which can lead to runoff and eutrophication problems. For this reason, some effort has been expended at reducing the mobility of P in these types of manure and litter. Chemical additives that have been used for this purpose [83,84], include lime, ferric chloride, ferrous sulfate, and alum ($Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 14H_2O$ or $KAl(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$). Of these, alum has proven to be most effective, with the added benefit that it also prevents the loss of ammonia [85,86] and water soluble metals from manure amended soils [87,88].

Al-associated P accounts for some 40% of total P in alum amended materials, with about 20% of this being drawn from Ca-phosphate phases. This decreases by about half when alum is added to poultry litter. Hunger *et al.* [89] have used ^{31}P NMR to elucidate the nature of the immobilized P species. This proved to be a difficult task, involving many unresolvable P_i and P_o species. It was noted that no crystalline aluminum phosphate species were present.

Humic materials

Humic and fulvic acids comprise a wide variety of organic materials that are present in all agricultural soils. Their effects on plant growth and nutrition are well documented, [90,91] and they can be applied to improve soil structure and increase crop yields. Reports on the influence of humic materials on P retention and release have largely focused on the mineral components of the soils studied. Recent work indicates that the occurrence of Al

and Fe has a significant effect on the P sorption capacity, despite the presence of large amounts of organic matter [92]. Earlier, it had been shown that P decreases the sorption of organic C to acid mineral soils, suggesting a ligand exchange process at the surface [93,94]. As regards the reverse, *i.e.* the release of P under the influence of dissolved humic materials, Delgado *et al.* [95] have published one of the few accounts dealing with this issue. They found that application of humics to the soil increases the recovery of Olsen P in all soils tested, except in those with very high Na content.

A recent investigation indicates that strong interactions between P_i and humic materials is predicated on the presence of metal ions that act as cationic "anchors", allowing anionic humates and phosphates to associate [96]. Stability constants of humate-metal-P complexes tend to be high, with log K values in the range 4.87–5.92 (Zn- and Mg-anchor, respectively).

Concluding remarks

Much has been learned about P mobility in calcareous media over the last five decades, but some gaps in understanding remain. Many of these occur at the molecular level of P interaction with subsurface species, including the detailed mechanism of P desorption under the influence of organic species. The role of humic materials in P mobilization is another area of research that has been given relatively little attention and is a potentially fruitful area of study. The use of humates as soil amendments presents an especially interesting case. The practice is gaining popularity – as borne out by the existence of more than 70 purveyors of these "nonconventional soil additives" in the U.S. alone [53] – but nothing is known about its environmental consequences.

References

1. Haygarth PM, Hepworth L, Jarvis SC: **Forms of phosphorus transfer in hydrological pathways from soil under grazed grassland.** *European Journal of Soil Science* 1998, **49**:65-72.
2. Alloush GA, Boyer DG, Belesky DP, Halvorson JJ: **Phosphorus mobility in a karst landscape under pasture grazing system.** *Agronomie* 2003, **23**:593-600.
3. Rheinheimer DS, Anghononi I, Flores F: **Organic and inorganic phosphorus as characterized by phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance in subtropical soils under management systems.** *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 2002, **33**:1853-1871.
4. Solomon D, Lehmann J: **Loss of phosphorus from soil in semi-arid northern Tanzania as a result of cropping: evidence from sequential extraction ^{31}P-NMR spectroscopy.** *European Journal of Soil Science* 2000, **51**:699-708.
5. Whalen JK, Chang C: **Phosphorus accumulation in cultivated soils from long-term annual applications of cattle feedlot manure.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2001, **30**:229-237.
6. Ketterings QM, Kahabka JE, Reid WS: **Trends in phosphorus fertility of New York agricultural land.** *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 2005, **60**:10-20.
7. Pierzynski GM, Editor: **Methods of Phosphorus Analysis for Soils, Sediments, Residuals, and Waters.** *Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin No. #396: SERA-IEG* 2000 [http://www.sera17.ext.vt.edu/Documents/Methods_of_P_Analysis_2000.pdf]].
8. Worsfold PJ, Gimbert LJ, Mankasingh U, Ndukaku O Omaka, Hanrahan G, Gardolinski PCFC, Haygarth PM, Turner BL, Keith-Roach MJ, McKelvie ID: **Sampling, sample treatment and quality assurance issues for the determination of phosphorus species in natural waters and soils.** *Talanta* 2005, **66**:273-293.
9. Murphy J, Riley JP: **A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters.** *Analytica Chimica Acta* 1962, **27**:31-36.
10. Harwood JE, van Steenderen RA, Kuhn AL: **A rapid method for orthophosphate analysis at high concentrations in water.** *Water Research* 1969, **3**:417-423.
11. Drummond L, Maher W: **Determination of phosphorus in aqueous solution via formation of the phosphoantimonylmolybdenum blue comples re-examination of optimum conditions for the analysis of phosphate.** *Analytica Chimica Acta* 1990, **302**:69-74.
12. Richter U, Krengel-Rothensee K, Heitland P: **New applications for nonmetals determination by ICP-AES.** *American Laboratory* 1999:70-171.
13. Mallarino AP: **Field calibration for corn of the Mehlich-3 soil phosphorus test with colorimetric and inductively coupled plasma emission spectroscopy determination methods.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2003, **68**:1928-1934.
14. Xia D, Yuan H-Y, Li J, Yu R-Q: **Surface-modified cobalt-based sensor as a phosphate sensitive electrode.** *Analytical Chemistry* 1995, **67**:288-291.
15. Meruva RK, Meyerhoff ME: **Mixed potential response mechanism of cobalt electrode toward inorganic phosphate.** *Analytical Chemistry* 1996, **68**:2022-2026.
16. Chen Z, De Marco R, Alexander PW: **Flow-injection potentiometric detection of phpshates using a metallic cobalt wire ion-selective electrode.** *Analytical Communications* 1997, **34**:93-95.
17. De Marco R, Pejcic B, Chen Z: **Flow injection potentiometric determination of phosphate in waste waters and fertilizers using a cobalt wire ion-selective electrode.** *Analyst* 1998, **123**:1635-1640.
18. De Marco R, Phan C: **Determination of phosphate in hydroponic nutrient solutions using flow injection potentiometry and a cobalt-wire phosphate ion-selective electrode.** *Talanta* 2003, **60**:1215-1221.
19. Turner BL, Frossard E, Baldwin DS, Editors: **Organic Phosphorus in the Environment.** Cambridge, MA: CABI Publishing; 2005.
20. Cade-Menun BJ: **Characterizing phosphorus in environmental and agricultural samples by ^{31}P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.** *Talanta* 2005, **66**:359-371.
21. Turner BL, Mahieu N, Condron LM: **Phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance spectral assignments of phosphorus compounds in soil NaOH-EDTA extracts.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2003, **67**:497-510.
22. Cade-Menun BJ, Liu CW, Nunlist R, McColl JG: **Soil and litter bphosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy: extractants, metals, and phosphorus relaxation times.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2002, **31**:457-465.
23. Ruiz JM, Delgado A, Torrent J: **Iron-related phosphorus in over-fertilized European soils.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1997, **26**:1548-1554.
24. Cole CV, Olsen SR, Scott CO: **The nature of phosphate sorption by calcium carbonate.** *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 1953, **17**:352-356.
25. Clark JS, Peech M: **Solubility criteria for the existence of calcium and aluminium phosphates in soils.** *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 1955, **19**:171-174.
26. Arvieu JC, Bouvier O: **Chemical processes in the evolution of phosphates in calcareous soils.** *Science du Sol* 1974, **74**:207-224.
27. Stumm W, Leckie JO: **Phosphate exchange with sediments; its role in the productivity of surface water.** In *Proceedings of the 5th International Pollution Research Conference* San Francisco, CA: Pergamon; 1970.
28. De Arambarri P, Talibudeen O: **Factors influencing the isotopically exchangeable phosphate in soils. III. The effect of temperature in some calcareous soils.** *Plant and Soil* 1959, **11**:364-75.
29. Freeman JS, Rowell DL: **The adsorption and precipitation of phosphate onto calcite.** *Journal of Soil Science* 1981, **32**:75-84.
30. Cole CV, Olsen SR: **Phosphorus solubility in calcareous soils: II. Effects of exchangeable phosphorus and soil texture on phos-**

phorus solubility. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 1959, **23:**116-118.

31. Gregory TM, Moreno EC, Brown WE: **Solubility of dicalcium phosphate dihydrate in the system calcium hydroxide – orthophosphoric acid – water at 5, 15, 25, and 37.5 deg.** *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, Section A: Physics and Chemistry* 1970, **74A:**461-475.

32. Avnimelech Y: **Calcium-carbonate-phosphate surface complex in calcareous systems.** *Nature* 1980, **288:**255-257.

33. Holford ICR, Mattingly GEG: **Phosphate sorption by Jurassic oolitic limestones.** *Geoderma* 1975, **13:**257-264.

34. Amer F, Mamoud AA, Sabet V: **Zeta potential and surface area of calcium carbonate as related to phosphate sorption.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1985, **49:**1137-1142.

35. Afif E, Matar A, Torrent J: **Availability of phosphate applied to calcareous soils of West Asia and North Africa.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1993, **57:**756-760.

36. Castro B, Torrent J: **Phosphate availability in calcareous vertisols and inceptisols in relation to fertilizer type and soil properties.** *Fertility Research* 1995, **40:**109-119.

37. Carreira JA, Lajtha KL: **Factors affecting phosphate sorption along a Mediterranean dolomite soil and vegetation chronosequence.** *European Journal of Soil Science* 1997, **48:**139-149.

38. Ryan J, Curtin D, Cheema MA: **Significance of iron oxides and calcium carbonate particle size in phosphorus sorption by calcareous soils.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1985, **49:**74-76.

39. Samadi A, Gilkes RJ: **Phosphorus transformations and their relationships with calcareous soil properties of Southern Western Australia.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1999, **63:**809-815.

40. Zhou M, Li Y: **Phosphorus-sorption characteristics of calcareous soils and limestone from the Southern Everglades and adjacent farmlands.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2001, **65:**1404-1412.

41. Hammad ME, Rimmer DL, Syers JK: **Effect of iron oxide on phosphate sorption by calcite and calcareous soils.** *Journal of Soil Science* 1992, **43:**273-281.

42. Tunesi S, Poggi V, Gessa C: **Phosphate adsorption and precipitation in calcareous soils: the role of calcium ions in solution and carbonate minerals.** *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 1999, **53:**219-227.

43. Lindsay WL, Vlek PLG, Chien SH: **Phosphate Minerals.** In *Minerals in Soil Environment* 2nd edition. Edited by: Dixon JB, Weeds SB. Madison WI: Soil Science Society of America; 1989:1089-1130.

44. Delgado A, Ruiz RJ, Campillo M, Kassem S, Andreu L: **Calcium- and iron-related phosphorus in calcareous and calcareous marsh soils: Sequential chemical fractionation and ^{31}P nuclear magnetic resonance study.** *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 2000, **31:**2483-2499.

45. Reyes I, Torrent J: **Citrate-ascorbate as a highly selective extractant for poorly crystalline iron oxides.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1997, **61:**1647-1654.

46. Dominguez R, Del Campillo C, Peña F, Delgado A: **Effect of soil properties and reclamation practices on phosphorus dynamics in reclaimed calcareous marsh soils from the Guadalquivir Valley, SW Spain.** *Arid Land Research and Management* 2001, **15:**203-221.

47. Delgado A, Torrent J: **Phosphorus forms and desorption patterns in heavily fertilized calcareous and limed acid soils.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2000, **64:**2031-2037.

48. Heckrath G, Brookes PC, Poulton PR, Goulding KWT: **Phosphorus leaching from soils containing different phosphorus concentrations in the Broadbalk experiment.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1995, **24:**904-910.

49. Hooda PS, Rendell AR, Edwards AC, Withers PJA, Aitken MN, Truesdale VW: **Relating soil phosphorus indices to potential phosphorus release to water.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2000, **29:**1166-1171.

50. Maguire RO, Sims JT: **Soil testing to predict phosphorus leaching.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2002, **31:**1601-1609.

51. Novak JM, Watts DW, Hunt PG, Stone KC: **Phosphorus movement through coastal plain soil after a decade of intensive swine manure application.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2000, **29:**1310-1315.

52. McDowell RW, Sharpley AN: **Phosphorus losses in subsurface flow before and after manure application to intensively farmed land.** *Science of the Total Environment* 2001, **278:**113-125.

53. Kelling KA, staff: **Nonconventional soil additives: Products, companies, ingredients, and claims.** *NCR-103 Committee on Non-traditional Soil Amendments and Growth Stimulants* 2004 [http://alfi.soils.wisc.edu/extension/hottopics/nonconventional.pdf].

54. Sample EC, Soper RJ, Racz GJ: **Reaction of phosphate fertilizer in soil.** In *The Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture* Edited by: Khasawneh EF, Sample EC, Kamprath EJ. WI: American Society of Agronomy; 1980:263-310.

55. **Code of practice for safe and economic handling of animal manures.** In *Agdex 400/27–2, Alberta Agriculture, Food, and Rural Development, Intensive Livestock Operations Committee* Edmonton, AB, Canada; 1995.

56. Griffin TS, Honeycutt CW, He Z: **Changes in soil phosphorus from manure application.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2003, **67:**645-653.

57. Lehmann J, Lan Z, Hyland C, Sato S, Solomon D, Ketterings Q: **Long-term dynamics of phosphorus forms and retention in manure-amended soils.** *Environmental Science & Technology* 2005, **39:**6672-6680.

58. Hue NV, Craddock GR, Adams F: *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1986, **50:**28-34.

59. Reddy KR, Overcash MR, Khaleel R, Westerman PW: **Phosphorus adsorption-desorption characteristics of two soils utilized for disposal of animal wastes.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1980, **9:**86-92.

60. Iyamuremye F, Dick RP, Braham J: **Organic amendments and phosphate dynamics: II. Distribution of soil phosphorus fractions.** *Soil Science* 1996, **161:**436-443.

61. Kamh M, Horst WJ, Amer F, Mostafa H, Maier P: **Mobilization of soil and fertilizer phosphate by cover crops.** *Plant and Soil* 1999, **211:**19-27.

62. Keerthisinghe G, Hocking PJ, Ryan PR, Delhaize E: **Effect of phosphorus supply on the formation and function of proteoid roots of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.).** *Plant Cell and Environment* 1998, **21:**467-478.

63. Robbins CW, Westermann DT, Freeborn LL: **Phosphorus forms, extractability, and availability from three sources in a recently exposed calcareous subsoil.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1999, **63:**1717-1724.

64. Vivekanandan M, Fixen PE: **Effect of large manure applications on soil P intensity.** *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 1990, **21:**287-297.

65. Iyamuremye F, Dick RP, Braham J: **Organic amendments and phosphate dynamics: I. Phosphorus chemistry and sorption.** *Soil Science* 1996, **161:**426-435.

66. Guppy CN, Menzies NW, Blamey FPC, Moody PW: *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2005, **69:**1405-1411.

67. Sharpley A, Moyer B: **Phosphorus forms in manure and compost and their release during simulated rainfall.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2000, **29:**1462-1469.

68. Leytem AB, Turner BL, Raboy V, Peterson KL: **Linking manure properties to soil phosphorus solubility.** In *Proceedings: Western Nutrient Management Conference Volume 6*. Salt Lake City, UT; 2005:114-120.

69. Pointillart , Fontaine N, Thomasset M: **Phytate phosphorus utilization and intestinal phosphatases in pigs fed low phosphorus wheat or corn diets.** *Nutrition Reports International* 1984, **29:**473-483.

70. Golovan SP, Meidinger RG, Ajakaiye A, Cottrill M, Wiederkehr MZ, Barney DJ, Plante C, Pollard JW, Fan MZ, Hayes MA, Laursen J, Hjorth JP, Hacker RR, Philips JP, Forsberg CW: **Pigs expressing salivary phytase produce low-phosphorus manure.** *Nature Biotechnology* 2001, **19:**741-745.

71. Raboy V, Gerbasi PF, Young KA, Stoneberg SD, Pickett SG, Bauman AT, Murthy PPN, Sheridan WF, Ertl DS: **Origin and seed phenotype of maize low phytic acid 1–1 and low phytic acid 2–1.** *Plant Physiology* 2000, **124:**355-368.

72. Dorsch JA, Cook A, Young KA, Anderson JM, Bauman AT, Volkmann CJ, Murthy PPN, Raboy V: **Seed phosphorus and inositol phosphate phenotype of barley low phytic acid genotypes.** *Phytochemistry* 2003, **62:**691-706.

73. Leytem AB, Turner BL, Thacker PA: **Phosphorus composition of manure from swine fed low-phytate grains: Evidence for

hydrolysis in the animal. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2004, **33:**2380-2383.

74. Gerritse RG: **Phosphorus compounds in pig slurry and their retention in soil.** In *Utilization of Manure by Land Spreading* Edited by: Voorburg JH. Directorate General for Agriculture Co-ordination of Agricultural Research (EUR 5672e); 1976:257-266.

75. Crouse DA, Sierzputowska-Gracz H, Mikkelsen RL, Wollum AG: **Monitoring phosphorus mineralization from poultry manure using phosphatase assays and phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.** *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 2002, **33:**1205-1217.

76. Leytem AB, Mikkelsen RL, Gilliam JW: **Sorption of organic phosphorus compounds in Atlantic coastal plain soils.** *Soil Science* 2002, **167:**652-658.

77. He Z, Honeycutt CW, Griffin TS: **Comparative investigation of sequentially extracted phosphorus fractions in a sand loam soil and a swine manure.** *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 2003, **34:**1729-1742.

78. He Z, Griffin TS, Honeycutt CW: **Phosphorus distribution in dairy manures.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2004, **33:**1528-1534.

79. Hedley MJ, Stewart JWB, Chauhan BS: **Changes in inorganic and organic soil phosphorus fractions induced by cultivation practices and by laboratory incubations.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1982, **46:**970-976.

80. Turner BL, Leytem AB: **Phosphorus Compounds in Sequential Extracts of Animal Manures: Chemical Speciation and a Novel Fractionation Procedure.** *Environmental Science & Technology* 2004, **38:**6101-6108.

81. Toor GS, Condron LM, Di HJ, Cameron KC, Cade-Menun BJ: **Characterization of organic phosphorus in leachate from a grassland soil.** *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 2003, **35:**1317-1323.

82. He Z, Honeycutt CW: **Enzymatic characterization of organic phosphorus in animal manure.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2001, **30:**1685-1692.

83. Moore PA Jr, Miller DM: **Decreasing phosphorus solubility in poultry litter with aluminum, calcium, and iron amendments.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1994, **23:**325-330.

84. Shreve BR, Moore PA Jr, Daniel TC, Edwards DR, Miller DM: **Reduction of phosphorus in runoff from field-applied poultry litter using chemical amendments.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1995, **24:**106-111.

85. Moore PA Jr, Daniel TC, Edwards DR, Miller DM: **Effect of chemical amendments on ammonia volatilization from poultry litter.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1995, **24:**293-300.

86. Moore PA Jr, Daniel TC, Edwards DR: **Reducing phosphorus runoff and inhibiting ammonia loss from poultry manure with aluminum sulfate.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2000, **29:**37-49.

87. Moore PA Jr, Daniel TC, Gilmour JT, Shreve BR, Edwards DR, Wood BH: **Decreasing metal runoff from poultry litter with aluminum sulfate.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1998, **27:**92-99.

88. Sims JT, Luka-McCafferty NJ: **On-Farm Evaluation of Aluminum Sulfate (Alum) as a Poultry Litter Amendment: Effects on Litter Properties.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 2002, **31:**2066-2073.

89. Hunger S, Cho H, Sims JT, Sparks DL: **Direct speciation of phosphorus in alum-amended poultry litter: Solid-state ^{31}P NMR investigation.** *Environmental Science & Technology* 2004, **38:**674-681.

90. Adani F, Genevini P, Zaccheo P, Zocchi G: **The effect of commercial humic acid on tomato plant growth and mineral nutrition.** *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 1998, **21:**561-575.

91. Fernandez-Escobar R, Benlloch M, Barranco D, Dueñas A, Gutierrez JA Gañan: **Response of olive trees to foliar application of humic substances extracted from leonardite.** *Scientia Horticulturae* 1996, **66:**191-200.

92. Giesler R, Andersson T, Lövgren L, Persson P: **Phosphate sorption in alumium- and iron-rich humus soils.** *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 2005, **69:**77-86.

93. Kaiser K, Zech W: **Nitrate, sulfate, and biophosphate retention in acid forest soils affected by natural dissolved organic C.** *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1996, **25:**1325-1331.

94. Kaiser K, Zech W: **Competitive sorption of dissolved organic matter fractions to soil and related mineral phases.** *Science Society of America Journal* 1997, **61:**64-69.

95. Delgado A, Madrid A, Kassem S, Andreu L, Campillo M: **Phosphorus fertilizer recovery from calcareous soils amended with humic and fulvic acids.** *Plant and Soil* 2002, **245:**277-286.

96. Riggle J, von Wandruszka R: **Binding of inorganic phosphate to dissolved metal humates.** *Talanta* 2005, **66:**372-375.